	Case 3.15-cv-00993-BEN-BLM Document 2	25 Filed 11/10/15 Page10.156 Page 1 013
1		
-		
2		
3		
4 5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TUANJA EDWARD ANDERSON,) Case No. 15cv993-BEN (BLM)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER PROVIDING PLAINTIFF
13	V.	NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE
14	A. HERNANDEZ, et al.,	FAILURE TO EXHAUST ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES
15	The French World Ed., St. di.,)
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action filed pursuant	
19	to the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants filed a pre-answer motion for	
20	summary judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and Albino v. Baca,	
21	747 F.3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2014) (en banc). ECF Nos. 24 at 2; 24-2 at 1, 4-10. In his	
22	motion, Defendants argue that Plaintiff has "failed to exhaust his administrative remedies	
23	as to all claims and Defendants in his Complaint," as required by the Prison Litigation	

"The PLRA mandates that inmates exhaust all available administrative remedies before filing 'any suit challenging prison conditions,' including, but not limited to, suits under § 1983." <u>Albino</u>, 747 F.3d at 1171 (citing <u>Woodford v. Ngo</u>, 548 U.S. 81, 85 (2006)). "Exhaustion should be decided, if feasible, before reaching the merits of a prisoner's

Reform Act ("PLRA"). See id.; see also 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a).

25

26

27

28

claim." <u>Albino</u>, 747 F.3d at 1170. The Ninth Circuit has held that "the appropriate procedural device for pretrial determination of whether administrative remedies have been exhausted under the PLRA . . . is a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56." <u>Id.</u> at 1168.

Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact. In other words, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, then the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided by Rule 56(c), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial as to the defendant who prevailed on summary judgment.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is hereby provided with notice that Defendants have asked the Court to find, as a matter of law, that he failed to exhaust administrative remedies pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a). Plaintiff is further advised of his opportunity to include in his Opposition to Defendants' motion whatever arguments and documentary evidence he may have to show that he did, in fact, exhaust all administrative remedies related to his claims as were available to him prior to filing suit. See Marella v. Terhune, 568 F.3d 1024, 1028 (9th Cir. 2009) (a district court must "effectively give [plaintiff] fair notice that he should have submitted evidence regarding exhaustion of remedies.").

The Court cautions Plaintiff that if he does not submit his own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against him. If summary

judgment is granted, his unexhausted claims will be dismissed and there will be no trial on the merits as to his unexhausted claims.

Defendants' motion is set for hearing on <u>January 11, 2016</u>, at <u>10:00 a.m.</u> in <u>Courtroom 1B</u>. Accordingly, your opposition (including any supporting documents) must be filed and served on Defendants' counsel by <u>December 16, 2015</u>. If you do not wish to oppose Defendants' motion, you should file and serve a "Notice of Non-Opposition" by that same date to let the Court know that Defendants' motion is unopposed. If you do file and serve an opposition, Defendants must file and serve his reply to your opposition by <u>January 4, 2016</u>. At the time set for hearing, the Court will consider Defendants' motion fully briefed as submitted on the papers. Unless otherwise ordered, no appearances are required on the date set for hearing and no oral argument will be heard. <u>See</u> S.D. Cal. CIVLR 7.1.d.1.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 10, 2015

BARBARA L. MAJOR United States Magistrate Judge

Barban Mayor